



Date: May-17-19
To: Bidders for Norfolk County Bid No. PW-D-19-05
Engineering Services under the Drainage Act for Cedar Ordnance Petition
From: Chris Dunn, CET, Drainage Superintendent, Public Works
Chris.dunn@norfolkcounty.ca
Subject: Addendum No. 1 (1 page)

ADDENDUM No. 1

This Addendum shall form part of the Contract Documents.

The Bidders should acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on the Submission Form – Appendix A.

1. Question:

Does the engineering for this project need to be lumped in within financial proposal?

Answer:

No. The goal of this RFP is to obtain a price for the overall administrative process that is required for an engineer to produce a drainage report under the *Drainage Act*. The engineering costs will be determined through a change order once an engineer is appointed, and the Petition is validated. This was done to make this as fair as possible for engineer's within to submit a proposal. We did not want each engineer to submit their cost based on their own assumptions or the possible unknowns, as each engineer would likely submit different proposal.

2. Question:

It appears that the Tribunal and Referee costs are not requested, if either were to occur is that what the PROVISIONAL PRICING sheet is for?

Answer:

We did not include the pricing for the Tribunal or the Referee as both of these are unknown occurrences and are unable to be predicted. We would use the Provisional Pricing sheet to complete an estimate for the time required for each circumstance and issue a change order. Legal fees would also need to be addressed as a change order. We would also use the Provisional Pricing

sheet if additional work is required outside of the general scope of work to prepare an estimate for a change order/purchase order i.e. additional survey work.

3. Question:

What are the drainage issues driving this petition? Road flooding or public property destruction?

Answer:

Currently the Roads Department is driving the petition. The intersection shown on Attachment C is consistently under water, and we have received numerous complaints from the surrounding property owners.

4. Question:

Do you know the condition of the existing infrastructure and is it to be incorporated?

Answer:

We do not know the condition of the existing infrastructure. We have attempted to video the outlet pipe twice and have not been successful. The incorporation of existing infrastructure will be determined at the engineering stage of this project.

5. Question:

Will Long Point be requiring the outlet to be stamped by a coastal engineer? Are there any endangered species in the area?

Answer:

Both of these items will be addressed through item B-1 in the financial proposal. If additional engineering studies are required, this can be addressed through a change order.

6. Question:

Is property No. 258 on Attachment C privately owned, or does Norfolk County own it? Who owns the property between the residential area and the lake?

Answer:

258 Cedar Drive is privately owned and the property between the residential area and the lake is owned by the Ministry of Natural Recourses and Forestry.

7. Question:

What can be expected for the water table in this area?

Answer:

With the lake levels being at an all-time high since 1985, we would expect the water table to be elevated.

End of Addendum